



2025
GENERAL ELECTION REPORT
SAIT Students' Association (Saitsa)

Prepared By: Ilene Burns – Chief Returning Officer

Prepared For: Saitsa Board of Directors

March 30, 2025



**Governance &
Advocacy Office**

Contents

Executive Summary..... 3

Candidates Elected to the 2025-2026 Saitsa Board of Directors..... 3

Overview 3

Election Process and Oversight..... 4

Nomination Period..... 5

Campaign Period..... 5

Complaints, Disciplinary Action, and Appeals..... 6

Voting..... 6

Poster Tear Down, Results Night, and Expense Forms 7

Official Results..... 8

Executive Summary

Voter turnout for the 2025 Saitsa General Election was 16.3% with 4422 students casting their ballot. Twenty-one candidates were listed on the ballot. Voting was conducted by way of Single Transferable Voting and it took twenty rounds of counting to exhaust all votes and fill the nine positions.

Forty-four nomination registrations were submitted with twenty-two nominees meeting all eligibility requirements to become candidates. Two candidates withdrew from the election and there was one disqualification.

One slate was registered with seven candidates participating in the slate. Slate names appeared behind the candidate's name on the ballot and five of the slate members were successfully elected.

Saitsa hosted events and outreach opportunities were well received throughout the election. Three Candidates' Meeting were held during the nomination period to accommodate nominees' schedules. Most candidates seized the opportunity to submit their photo, video, and profile and have it posted on the Saitsa website. Meet & Greet saw good candidate participation with candidates experiencing great engagement with their potential voters. Results Night was well attended by candidates and their supporters.

Numerous complaints were submitted resulting in written warnings and monetary fines being issued, as well as one disqualification.

Overall, the 2025 Saitsa General Election was very successful.

The administration of this election was conducted in accordance with current Saitsa Election & Referenda Policies & Procedures, Bylaws, and Board Policies.

Candidates Elected to the 2025-2026 Saitsa Board of Directors

Congratulations to the newly elected directors of the 2025 Saitsa General Election. Listed in alphabetical order, by last name, the successful candidates are:

| | |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| Rushika Amin | James Kyle |
| Abe Armagan | Al Kim Lutao |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | Silve Rahman |
| Cam Toan Do | Hannah Mae Tolentino |
| Karan Gill | |

Overview

This report will provide an overview of the election process, oversight, promotion, election periods, events, violations, voting, candidate expenses, and results.

Election Process and Oversight

The Saitsa General Election is conducted each winter semester to elect the representatives for the board. The board consists of nine directors who will choose from within a President and Vice President.

Voting is conducted by way of Single Transferable Voting (STV). STV is a voting method where voters rank the candidates in order of preference from most preferred to least preferred on one ballot. Candidates are either elected to or eliminated from the election in several rounds of counting ballots until all positions have been filled. To be elected, candidates are to receive a minimum number of votes, which is the quota. The quota is calculated using the Droop formula; number of voters having cast a valid ballot divided by the number of options (number of director positions) plus one, and then adding one to this number. An independent third-party company, Simply Voting, processes the ballots, calculates the quota, and calculates the results. The CRO verifies the quota and the results prior to the provisional results being announced.

The CRO, assisted by the Governance and Advocacy staff, is responsible for administering Saitsa's elections and enforcing Saitsa's policies & procedures, reporting to the Chief Executive Officer. For convenience purposes, this group of individuals is referred to as the Election Committee.

The Election Oversight Panel (EOP) is an oversight body to the election process. The EOP consists of three disinterested parties appointed by the Chief Executive Officer. This panel exists independently and acts as an adjudication panel in the event of someone appealing the decision of the CRO or the election results.

Together the CRO and the EOP are in place to oversee the general conduct and implementation of elections on behalf of Saitsa in accordance with policies, and bylaws.

2025 Election Committee:

Ilene Burns – Chief Returning Officer

Tosin Ajibulu – Governance and Advocacy Administrative Coordinator

Emmanuella Fredua-Agyeman – Governance and Advocacy Research Coordinator

Nicolle Gomez – Governance and Advocacy Administrative Assistant

2025 Election Oversight Panel

David McIntyre – Chair

Michael Watterston – Panel Member

Sandra Penner – Panel Member

Election preparation for the 2025 Saitsa General Election began in the spring of 2024 with the CRO setting the preliminary election dates. The Manager of Governance and Advocacy and Marketing worked on election promotion planning over the summer. In the fall the CRO, the Election Committee and Marketing began working on a new election submission platform that Marketing had switched over to from the previous election. Election documents were reviewed and edits made as required.

Election promotion was conducted both on-campus and online beginning in January 2025 continuing through to the end of the election with the posting of election results. Flyers, social media posts, the Saitsa bulletin, and the Saitsa website were used to advertise all stages of the election process.

Saitsa provided optional election outreach opportunities to all candidates to assist with their election promotion. Candidates were able to submit a candidate photo, video, and/or profile that was posted on a candidate page on the Saitsa website. This gave the student voters one convenient location where they could access information on all the candidates' campaign platforms for candidates who chose to take advantage of this resource. Saitsa also hosted Meet & Greet, a one day in-person event, for candidates to meet and directly engage with voters.

Nomination Period

Nominations were accepted from 8:00am on January 20, 2025 to 12:00pm on February 10, 2025. The nomination process was broken down into different stages and nominees had to complete set eligibility requirements before advancing onto the next nomination stage. Attendance of a Candidates' Meeting was the final requirement in the nomination process for nominees to be confirmed as a candidate.

To be considered as a candidate, students must be voting members of Saitsa who are active students in good standing and have paid their Saitsa and SAIT fees in full. They must submit a complete nomination package that includes the signing of a declaration agreeing to abide by the bylaws, election policies, and directives of the CRO. They have to obtain twenty nomination signatures from Saitsa members and they must attend a Candidates' Meeting.

Three virtual Candidates' Meetings were held to accommodate student schedules; one on each of January 27, February 4, and February 10, 2025.

Forty-four nomination registrations were submitted with twenty-two nominees completing all eligibility requirements and qualifying to become candidates.

Campaign Period

The Campaign Period ran from 8:00am February 11, 2025 to the close of voting at 4:00pm on March 6, 2025. During this timeframe candidates engaged in various types of campaign strategies such as posting campaigning material on campus, social media posts, classroom talks, conversations with students, and participation in Saitsa hosted outreach opportunities.

Saitsa hosted outreach opportunities were well received by candidates with most candidates choosing to participate. Students who submitted their campaign photo, video, and/or profile by the deadline of 12:00pm February 14, 2025, had these submissions posted on the Saitsa website. This gave voters one location where they could access information on all candidates and learn what each candidate was campaigning on. Meet & Greet provided candidates the chance to interact with a large number of potential voters in one setting. It was hosted in the Irene Lewis Atrium on February 25, 2025 from 11:00am to 1:00pm. Each candidate was provided with a space where they could present their campaign message and engage directly with students. Students had the opportunity to speak directly with candidates and ask any questions they had about a candidate's platform.

Complaints, Disciplinary Action, and Appeals

The complaint process required complainants to submit their complaint through the submission platform on the Saitsa website. Once the complaint was received the CRO opened a complaint file and if necessary sought further information to make an informed decision in determining if disciplinary action was required. If the CRO determined an election violation had occurred, the accused was notified in writing of the violation, advised what if any disciplinary action was being issued, and was directed to take corrective measures regarding the violation.

Disciplinary action that could be levied ranged from written warnings, monetary fines in the form of reductions to the amount of campaign expenses be reimbursed, to disqualification from the election. Several written warnings and monetary fines were levied this election. There was one disqualification.

The appeal process required appellants to submit their appeal through the submission platform on the Saitsa website. All appeals submitted would be received by the Election Oversight Panel to determine if the appeal warranted a hearing. If a hearing was warranted, the Panel would commence the hearing process. Two appeals were submitted with the EOP upholding the CRO's ruling for both appeals

Voting

Voting began at 8:00am March 3, 2025 and closed at 4:00pm March 6, 2025. Students were sent an email with their secure link to access their ballot on the morning of March 3rd. Voters were able to cast their ballot at any time on their personal devices or they could cast their ballot with one of the mobile voting teams. Mobile voting teams were a new initiative for this election to replace the physical voting stations from past elections. This allowed the election volunteers to set up in high traffic areas to better assist students who required help with voting whether on their personal devices or on the mobile voting team tablet. It was observed that not many students used the mobile voting team tablets to cast their ballot rather the team was instrumental in providing instruction to many students on how to locate their voting email and provided direction on the process to submit their ballot on their own personal device.

Voting was conducted by way of Single Transferable Voting using the Droop Formula via Simply Voting, an independent third-party provider.

Very simply, with Single Transferable Voting, electors cast a ballot ranking their preferred candidates from most preferred to least preferred. The quota of votes is calculated using the Droop formula. Simply Voting calculates the formula and the CRO verifies the calculation. The quota of votes is calculated as follows:

In this example there are 1000 valid ballots cast for 9 board positions.

$$(1000 \text{ voters} / (9 \text{ board positions} + 1)) + 1 = 101$$

$$(1000 / 10) + 1 = 101 \text{ quota}$$

Candidates are elected or eliminated during rounds of counting votes. In order to be elected in a round of counting, a candidate must meet or exceed the quota of votes and must receive the highest number of votes. If no candidates receive the minimum number of votes to meet or exceed the quota of votes then the candidate with the least number of votes in that round will be eliminated. This will continue until all seats have been filled.

Voter turnout for the 2025 Saitsa General Election was 16.3% with 4422 Saitsa members submitting their ballots. Fifty students abstained from ranking any of the candidates resulting in 4372 valid ballots cast. Based on 4372 valid ballots cast the quota was calculated to be 438. At the close of polls, the CRO verified the election results and confirmed the quota was correctly calculated by Simply Voting. To fill all nine positions on the board and exhaust all valid votes cast, it took twenty-one rounds of counting. Due to one candidate withdrawing from the election after voting had commenced, the first round of counting, Round 0, was required to eliminate this candidate from the ballot and to redistribute their votes to the next preference votes.

Due to a disqualification of a candidate after the provisional results were counted and announced on March 6, 2025, the results had to be retabulated on March 12, 2025. The CRO instructed the Election Committee to withdraw the disqualified candidate from the ballot and rerun the results. Just like in the provisional count, Round 0, was required to eliminate the withdrawn candidates and redistribute their votes to the next preference votes. For the recount, it took twenty rounds of counting to exhaust all valid votes and fill the nine positions.

Poster Tear Down, Results Night, and Expense Forms

Candidates were invited to begin Poster Tear Down, between 4:00pm and 5:00pm on March 6th, while they waited for provisional election results to be verified. Any election material that was not removed during this timeframe was required to be removed no later than 4:00pm March 8th. Failure to ensure all their campaign material was taken down by the deadline would result in disciplinary action being levied against the candidate.

Candidates and their supporters were invited to attend Results Night on March 6th between 5:00pm and 6:00pm in MacDonald Hall. This event was hosted by the Chief Executive Officer and the current Saitsa Board of Directors President, and the provisional election results were announced by the Assistant Manager, Student Experience. Marketing posted the provisional results on the Saitsa website. Election results became official at 4:00pm on March 16, 2025.

Expense forms, along with all receipts, had to be submitted no later than 4:00pm March 7, 2025. The CRO, assisted by the Chief Financial Officer, and the Chief Executive Officer verified the expenses. All candidate expense forms were submitted on time and only a few submissions required the CRO to follow-up with the candidate to seek clarification or missing details on an expense entry.

Official Results

Candidates Elected at the Close of the Election Period on March 16th, 2025

Saitsa General Election 2025 Results

Turnout: 4422 (16.3%) of 27113 electors voted in this ballot.

| I am enrolled at SAIT as a: Option | Votes |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Full Time Student | 3991 (91.5%) |
| Part Time Student | 187 (4.3%) |
| Apprentice | 131 (3.0%) |
| Other | 54 (1.2%) |

Candidates

Option Devanshu Jamwal has been withdrawn from this ballot.

Option Sangram Singh has been withdrawn from this ballot.

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Votes required to elect an option: 438 | |
| ROUND 0 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | 445.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 388.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 360.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | 352.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 316.00 votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 315.00 votes |
| Devanshu Jamwal | 291.00 votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 222.00 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 214.00 votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 208.00 votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 203.00 votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 202.00 votes |
| Allan Szeto | 145.00 votes |
| Kevant Patel | 121.00 votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 109.00 votes |
| Muhammad Nael | 103.00 votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 102.00 votes |
| Luigi Evangelio BigSlateEnergy | 93.00 votes |
| Jefferson Ortiz | 64.00 votes |
| Sangram Singh | 62.00 votes |
| Kyle Dzienis | 57.00 votes |
| Eliminated withdrawn candidates | |
| ROUND 1 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | 450.00 (+5.00) votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 400.00 (+12.00) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 365.00 (+5.00) votes |

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Karan Gill | 359.00 (+7.00) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 325.00 (+9.00) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 319.00 (+4.00) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 229.00 (+7.00) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 216.00 (+2.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 211.00 (+3.00) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 209.00 (+7.00) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 208.00 (+5.00) votes |
| Allan Szeto | 145.00 votes |
| Kevant Patel | 125.00 (+4.00) votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 120.00 (+11.00) votes |
| Muhammad Nael | 108.00 (+5.00) votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 104.00 (+2.00) votes |
| Luigi Evangelio BigSlateEnergy | 94.00 (+1.00) votes |
| Jefferson Ortiz | 64.00 votes |
| Kyle Dzienis | 59.00 (+2.00) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 262.00 (+262.00) votes |
| Elected Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy due to: highest 1st preference | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy next preference votes redistributed at 0.03 discount | |
| ROUND 2 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 (-12.00) votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 400.88 (+0.88) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 366.12 (+1.12) votes |
| Karan Gill | 359.03 (+0.03) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 327.45 (+2.45) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 319.03 (+0.03) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 229.00 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 216.45 (+0.45) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 212.41 (+1.41) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 209.93 (+0.93) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 208.00 votes |
| Allan Szeto | 145.48 (+0.48) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 125.00 votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 120.24 (+0.24) votes |
| Muhammad Nael | 108.24 (+0.24) votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 104.21 (+0.21) votes |
| Luigi Evangelio BigSlateEnergy | 95.31 (+1.31) votes |
| Jefferson Ortiz | 64.59 (+0.59) votes |
| Kyle Dzienis | 59.08 (+0.08) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 263.55 (+1.55) votes |
| Eliminated Kyle Dzienis due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 3 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 405.88 (+5.00) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 377.12 (+11.00) votes |
| Karan Gill | 361.03 (+2.00) votes |

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 328.48 (+1.03) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 319.03 votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 229.00 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 219.45 (+3.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 213.41 (+1.00) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 212.93 (+3.00) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 208.00 votes |
| Allan Szeto | 146.51 (+1.03) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 126.00 (+1.00) votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 120.24 votes |
| Muhammad Nael | 109.24 (+1.00) votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 105.21 (+1.00) votes |
| Luigi Evangelio BigSlateEnergy | 95.31 votes |
| Jefferson Ortiz | 65.59 (+1.00) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 291.57 (+28.03) votes |
| Eliminated Jefferson Ortiz due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 4 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 410.93 (+5.05) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 387.20 (+10.08) votes |
| Karan Gill | 362.03 (+1.00) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 333.51 (+5.03) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 319.03 votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 229.00 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 227.48 (+8.03) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 220.52 (+7.11) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 215.96 (+3.03) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 208.00 votes |
| Allan Szeto | 152.56 (+6.05) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 126.00 votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 120.24 votes |
| Muhammad Nael | 109.24 votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 108.21 (+3.00) votes |
| Luigi Evangelio BigSlateEnergy | 97.52 (+2.21) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 306.57 (+15.00) votes |
| Eliminated Luigi Evangelio BigSlateEnergy due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 5 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 423.01 (+12.08) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 397.25 (+10.05) votes |
| Karan Gill | 362.03 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 341.67 (+8.16) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 320.03 (+1.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 247.89 (+27.37) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 239.61 (+12.13) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 229.00 votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 223.15 (+7.19) votes |

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Gursewak Singh | 208.00 votes |
| Allan Szeto | 157.83 (+5.27) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 126.00 votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 122.24 (+2.00) votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 110.21 (+2.00) votes |
| Muhammad Nael | 109.27 (+0.03) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 316.81 (+10.24) votes |
| Eliminated Muhammad Nael due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 6 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 430.09 (+7.08) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 405.25 (+8.00) votes |
| Karan Gill | 362.03 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 357.69 (+16.03) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 321.03 (+1.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 250.92 (+3.03) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 246.61 (+7.00) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 230.00 (+1.00) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 225.20 (+2.05) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 209.00 (+1.00) votes |
| Allan Szeto | 162.83 (+5.00) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 128.00 (+2.00) votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 125.24 (+3.00) votes |
| Precious Robert-Ezenta | 113.21 (+3.00) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 366.89 (+50.08) votes |
| Eliminated Precious Robert-Ezenta due to: lowest 1 st preference | |
| ROUND 7 | |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | 439.12 (+9.03) votes |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 416.28 (+11.03) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 369.77 (+12.08) votes |
| Karan Gill | 363.03 (+1.00) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 324.03 (+3.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 253.92 (+3.00) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 248.64 (+2.03) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 233.20 (+8.00) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 232.00 (+2.00) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 209.00 votes |
| Allan Szeto | 167.85 (+5.03) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 129.00 (+1.00) votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 126.24 (+1.00) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 421.92 (+55.03) votes |
| Elected Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy due to: highest 1 st preference | |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy next preference votes redistributed at 0.00 discount | |
| ROUND 8 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 (-1.12) votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 416.36 (+0.08) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 370.01 (+0.24) votes |
| Karan Gill | 363.05 (+0.03) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 324.11 (+0.09) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 253.97 (+0.05) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 248.69 (+0.05) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 233.26 (+0.06) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 232.02 (+0.02) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 209.03(+0.03) votes |
| Allan Szeto | 167.88 (+0.03) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 129.06 (+0.06) votes |
| Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy | 126.36 (+0.12) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 422.19 (+0.27) votes |
| Eliminated Divansh Kalra BigSlateEnergy due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 9 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 421.37 (+5.01) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 389.12 (+19.11) votes |
| Karan Gill | 370.05 (+7.00) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 332.12 (+8.01) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 259.00 (+5.03) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 252.72 (+4.03) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 239.02 (+7.00) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 236.29 (+3.03) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 235.04 (+26.01) votes |
| Allan Szeto | 169.91 (+2.03) votes |
| Kevant Patel | 135.11 (+6.04) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 456.24 (+34.05) votes |
| Eliminated Kevant Patel due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 10 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 425.38 (+4.00) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 394.16 (+62.04) votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 393.16 (+4.04) votes |
| Karan Gill | 376.06 (+6.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 260.00 (+1.00) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 254.72 (+2.00) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 239.29 (+3.00) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 239.02 votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 237.04 (+2.00) votes |
| Allan Szeto | 169.91 votes |
| Exhausted votes | 507.26 (+51.03) votes |
| Eliminated Allan Szeto due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 11 | |

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | 456.60 (+31.22) votes |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 413.27 (+20.11) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 398.19 (+4.03) votes |
| Karan Gill | 378.06 (+2.00) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 267.03 (+7.03) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 265.86 (+11.14) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 263.48 (+24.19) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 241.02 (+2.00) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 237.07 (+0.03) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 575.43 (+68.17) votes |
| Elected James Kyle BigSlateEnergy due to: highest 1 st preference | |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy next preference votes redistributed at 0.04 discount | |
| ROUND 12 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 (-18.60) votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 416.14 (+2.86) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 398.39 (+0.20) votes |
| Karan Gill | 378.18 (+0.12) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 269.74 (+3.88) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 268.80 (+1.77) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 266.14 (+2.66) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 241.06 (+0.04) votes |
| Gursewak Singh | 237.23 (+0.16) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 582.32 (+6.89) votes |
| Eliminated Gursewak Singh due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 13 | |
| Karan Gill | 446.21 (+68.03) votes |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 435.14 (+19.01) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 403.43 (+5.04) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 277.79 (+8.05) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 272.80 (+4.00) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 270.18 (+4.04) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 264.06 (+23.00) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 688.38 (+106.06) votes |
| Elected Karan Gill due to: highest 1st preference | |
| Karan Gill next preference votes redistributed at 0.02 discount | |
| ROUND 14 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 (-8.21) votes |

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 435.88 (+0.74) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 403.86 (+0.42) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 277.92 (+0.13) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 272.92 (+0.13) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 270.35 (+0.17) votes |
| Damanpreet Singh | 265.92 (+1.86) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 693.15 (+4.77) votes |
| Eliminated Damanpreet Singh due to: lowest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 15 | |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | 460.14 (+24.26) votes |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 426.08 (+22.23) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 284.98 (+12.06) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 284.01 (+6.09) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 278.45 (+8.09) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 886.33 (+193.18) votes |
| Elected Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy due to: highest 1 st preference | |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy next preference votes redistributed at 0.05 discount | |
| ROUND 16 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 (-22.14) votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | 428.13 (+2.04) votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 287.88 (+2.90) votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 287.88 (+3.86) votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 282.16 (+3.72) votes |
| Exhausted votes | 895.95 (+9.62) votes |
| Elected Mayur Brahmhatt due to: number of options equals number of winners, highest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 17 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Mayur Brahmhatt | Elected 428.13 votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | 287.88 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 287.88 votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 282.16 votes |
| Exhausted votes | 895.95 votes |

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Elected Al Kim Lutao due to: number of options equals number of winners, highest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 18 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Mayur Brahmbhatt | Elected 428.13 votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | Elected 287.88 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | 287.88 votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 282.16 votes |
| Exhausted votes | 895.95 votes |
| Elected Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy due to: number of options equals number of winners, highest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 19 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Mayur Brahmbhatt | Elected 428.13 votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | Elected 287.88 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | Elected 287.88 votes |
| Cam Toan Do | 282.16 votes |
| Exhausted votes | 895.95 votes |
| Elected Cam Toan Do due to: number of options equals number of winners, highest 1st preference | |
| ROUND 20 | |
| Hannah Mae Tolentino BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| James Kyle BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Karan Gill | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Rushika Amin BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Silve Rahman BigSlateEnergy | Elected 438.00 votes |
| Mayur Brahmbhatt | Elected 428.13 votes |
| Al Kim Lutao | Elected 287.88 votes |
| Abe Armagan BigSlateEnergy | Elected 287.88 votes |
| Cam Toan Do | Elected 282.16 votes |
| Exhausted votes | 895.95 votes |